

Click to prove
you're human



context is a company that is a member of a pension plan and has signed the corresponding "affiliation agreement" Is the company affiliated to or with the pension plan? I suspect both are OK, but is there a difference in meaning? or is one British usage and the other American? thxs context is a company that is a member of a pension plan and has signed the corresponding "affiliation agreement" Is the company affiliated to or with the pension plan? I suspect both are OK, but is there a difference in meaning? or is one British usage and the other American? thxs According to my Cambridge there is no difference in meaning and both are used in AmE and BrE. I have always heard "affiliated with". I have never heard "affiliated to". Not sure what the rules would be, or whether one is more correct than the other. thxs to the both of you (even though I'm not much further than I was before!) thxs to the both of you (even though I'm not much further than I was before!) I've found the same thing in Oxford: The NEW OXFORD Dictionary OF ENGLISH affiliate verb [with OBJ.] (usu. be affiliated to/with) officially attach or connect (a subsidiary group or a person) to an organization: a non-political union, not affiliated to any party. I have always heard "affiliated with". I have never heard "affiliated to". Not sure what the rules would be, or whether one is more correct than the other. Same here. It just cropped up in a paper I'm editing and it made me do double-take. It looks like the authors are using it as a synonym for "associated with". I'm leave it as is for now. My Shorter Oxford English Dictionary permits either construction according to a fine distinction of meaning--whether the affiliation is an attachment to or a connection with the relevant society, organisation, company, etc. However, as others have remarked, my own ear would prefer with in most instances!